

# *MODIS-based vegetation index has sufficient sensitivity to indicate stand-level intra-seasonal climatic stress in oak and beech forests*

Article

Accepted Version

Hlásny, T., Barka, I., Sitková, Z., Konôpka, M., Bucha, T. and Lukáč, M. (2015) MODIS-based vegetation index has sufficient sensitivity to indicate stand-level intra-seasonal climatic stress in oak and beech forests. *Annals of Forest Science*, 72 (1). pp. 109-125. ISSN 1286-4560 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-014-0404-2> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/37046/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13595-014-0404-2>

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



30 Networks-based regression modelling was then applied to identify the climatic variables  
31 that best explain observed NDVI declines.

32 **Results:** Tested variables explained 84–97% of the variation in NDVI, whilst air  
33 temperature-related climate extremes were found to be the most influential. Beech  
34 showed a linear response to the most influential climatic predictors, while oak responded  
35 in a unimodal pattern suggesting a better coping mechanism.

36 **Conclusions:** MODIS NDVI has proved sufficiently sensitive as a stand-level indicator  
37 of climatic stress acting upon temperate broadleaf forests, leading to its potential use in  
38 predicting drought stress from meteorological observations and improving  
39 parameterisation of forest stress indices.

40 **Key words:** drought stress, heat stress, NDVI, regression modelling, temperate forest,  
41 neural networks

42

#### 43 **Executive summary**

44 This study explores the suitability of MODIS satellite imagery for the detection of intra-  
45 seasonal heat and drought stress in temperate forests. It is clear that this data can provide  
46 valuable information complementary to forest stand-based ecophysiological research and  
47 allows for the quantification of inter-specific differences in stress response.

## 48    **Introduction**

49    The effect of extreme climate events on terrestrial ecosystems is being increasingly  
50    recognized as one of the first signs of impending climate change (Allen et al. 2010;  
51    Leuzinger et al. 2005). Survival of woody species within their present range is likely to  
52    be constrained by water availability, prolonged drought during vegetation season may  
53    induce episodes of large-scale tree decline (Allen et al. 2010; McDowel et al. 2011).  
54    Drought induced tree mortality has mainly been observed in the Mediterranean region,  
55    affecting a range of species (for an overview see Allen et al. 2010). Further north, lack of  
56    water has been identified chiefly as a predisposing factor for biotic stressors, for example  
57    drought periods repeatedly triggering large-scale pest outbreaks (Rouault et al. 2006). In  
58    temperate forests, repeated episodes of drought usually cause a decrease in leaf area index  
59    (Le Dantec et al. 2000), often resulting in a decline in forest productivity (Glenn et al.  
60    2008, Hlásny et al. 2011a). However, some recent observations such as drought induced  
61    mass beech mortality (Lakatos and Molnár 2010) or drought-triggered pest outbreaks  
62    (Mátyás et al. 2010) indicate the importance of drought as an emerging primary mortality  
63    agent in temperate Europe. This link is underlined by the presence of drought sensitive  
64    xeric limit of several temperate tree species, as well as by projections indicating drought  
65    induced retreat of some species (Czúcz et al. 2011, Hlásny et al. 2011a). European beech  
66    (*Fagus sylvatica*) and several oaks (*Quercus* sp.) overlap to a certain extent and together  
67    they constitute some of the ecologically and economically most important species. Oaks  
68    are favoured by a relatively warm and dry climates (Czúcz et al. 2011; Epron and Dreyer  
69    1993), while beech has been identified as sensitive to drought and potentially vulnerable  
70    to climate change (Geßler et al. 2007; Mátyás et al. 2010; Leuzinger et al. 2005). Since  
71    climate change may force a replacement of beech by oaks in some localities, the  
72    competitiveness and stress tolerance of beech and various oak species is being

73 increasingly recognized as central to future-proofing broadleaf temperate forests  
74 (Leuschner et al. 2001; Raftoyannis and Radoglou 2002; Scharnweber et al. 2011).  
75 Traditionally, the frequency and severity of drought has been evaluated by drought  
76 indices calculated from meteorological observations (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2012). Since  
77 forests are sparsely covered by meteorological stations (Caccamo et al. 2011), this  
78 approach does not allow for a reliable drought assessment of a large area or in a varied  
79 landscape. Variations in photosynthetic activity induced by climatic or other stress can,  
80 however, be effectively evaluated using remote sensing data (Glenn et al. 2008; Lobo et  
81 al. 2010). Fine spectral resolution in the water sensitive part of the electromagnetic  
82 spectrum makes MODIS sensor (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer,  
83 NASA) outstandingly suitable for drought monitoring (Ceccato et al. 2001). During the  
84 MODIS mission (from 2000 onwards), the instrument has generated large amounts of  
85 data used for monitoring of drought and water availability at global to regional scales. To  
86 date, however, few studies have explored the utility of MODIS-type data to monitor  
87 drought in forested areas (Caccamo et al. 2011; Vacchiano et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2009),  
88 with Central Europe not covered at all. Spectral reflectance data are usually compressed  
89 into vegetation indices. One such index, the widely used Normalised Difference  
90 Vegetation Index (NDVI), exploits the variation in the absorption of photosynthetically  
91 active radiation by living plant foliage (Myneni and Williams 1994). Since photosynthetic  
92 activity is limited by resource availability, NDVI has also been used to investigate the  
93 incidence and severity of drought (Caccamo et al. 2011; Ji and Peters 2003).  
94 In the present study, we investigate the usability of MODIS-NDVI as an indicator of the  
95 severity of vegetation stress resulting from a potential water deficit and excessive  
96 temperatures in mature beech and oak stands in Central Europe. We hypothesize that (i)  
97 specific stress episodes can be identified in time series of MODIS-NDVI localised to

98 forest stands, and (ii) these patterns are linked to specific intensity and duration of  
99 rainless and heat periods. We perform a regression modelling analysis to assess the  
100 usefulness of MODIS imagery for investigations of intra-seasonal variation of forest  
101 vigour and to identify environmental variables which best predict the stress response of  
102 beech and oak stands.

103

## 104 **1. Materials and methods**

### 105 **2.1 Study region and experimental plots**

106 The research focuses on the territory of Slovakia (Central Europe) where a number of  
107 forest plots distributed across the whole country were identified. Forest management  
108 plans and other databases archived by the National Forest Centre, Slovakia, were used to  
109 localise experimental plots using criteria listed in Table 1.

110 Table 1

111 The purpose of stand selection was to create a database of mature and homogenous oak  
112 and beech stands seamlessly covering groups of MODIS pixels (250×250 m, see  
113 Appendix A). Oak stands contained mixtures of Sessile oak (*Quercus petrea*),  
114 Pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur*) and Pubescent oak (*Quercus pubescens*). Only single-  
115 layer stands with closed canopy were considered for this study. Each selected stand was  
116 composed of at least 99% of the target species. This threshold was set arbitrarily high to  
117 allow for a reasonable confidence in inter-specific comparison. To reduce the variability  
118 of potential stress responses, we used digital forest soil maps to exclude forest stands on  
119 soils with extremely low or high water holding capacity. As a result, the only soil type  
120 under the final selection of stands is sandy loam or loam of medium depth (ca. up to 120  
121 cm in oak plots) or medium-to-high depth (ca. up to 200 cm in beech plots).

122 In total, 13 beech experimental plots covered by a total of 66 MODIS pixels, and 8 oak  
123 plots covered by 55 MODIS pixels met the selection criteria (Fig. 1, Table 2).

124 Fig. 1

125 Table 2

126

## 127 **2.2 Time series of MODIS-NDVI**

128 NDVI is an approximately linear estimate of the fraction of photosynthetically active  
129 radiation (PAR) intercepted by photosynthesizing tissue of vegetation, provided that  
130 certain constraints on background, solar and view angles, and atmospheric transparency  
131 are fulfilled (Myneni and Williams 1994). NDVI is formulated as:

132

$$133 \text{ NDVI} = (\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{Red}}) / (\rho_{\text{NIR}} + \rho_{\text{Red}}) \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

134

135 where  $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$  and  $\rho_{\text{Red}}$  are reflectance values of near infrared and red radiation.

136 Hence, NDVI theoretically takes on values between  $-1$  and  $1$ , with values approaching  $1$   
137 indicating high density of green leaves with good photosynthesizing performance.

138 For the purpose of this study, NDVI images with spatial resolution  $250 \times 250$  m covering  
139 the period 2000–2010 were derived from MODIS product MOD09GQ (Source: NASA  
140 LP DAAC). Despite potentially adverse effect of anisotropical reflectance of vegetation  
141 on the use of daily MODIS data (e.g. Shuai et al. 2013), we made preference for this  
142 product over 16-day products with 500 m resolution which are free of this potential  
143 source of error. Since we strive to focus on the immediate vegetation dynamics at daily  
144 scale in the varied landscape of Central Europe, the spatial resolution of used imagery can  
145 critically limit the usability of such imagery. Indeed, Franch et al. (2013) suggested that



errors due to the Lambertian assumption in daily MODIS data are likely to be negligible in case of NDVI values. Since clouds and atmospheric aerosols can introduce substantial noise in MODIS NDVI data (Wang et al. 2003, Hmimina et al. 2013), a two-step quality control has been applied to remove observations contaminated by atmospheric or other interference. First, MOD09GA (500x500) product was used to exclude images taken under high sensor zenith angles, and pixels contaminated by clouds and aerosols. Despite lower resolution, MOD09GA is better suited for this step than MOD09GQ with 250 m resolution, since the latter product does not contain information on pixel contamination by aerosols. Moreover, MOD09GA contains information detected in all spectral bands of MODIS (range 459–2,155 nm), supporting its superior performance in the detection of contaminated pixels. Indeed, cloud masks based on this product have been shown to slightly overestimate real clouding (Kotarba et al. 2009). Despite a very conservative first step, a portion of noise can remain in the data even after the quality assurance image was applied (Hmimina et al. 2013, Wang et al. 2003). Therefore, we applied a follow-up manual quality control procedure aimed at removal of NDVI values which were inconsistent with the expected annual cycle of vegetation greenness (Bruce et al. 2006).

163

### 164 **2.3 Climate data and definition of drought and heat periods**

Daily meteorological data collected at 46 meteorological stations in the vicinity of experimental plots (Fig. 1) (Source: Slovak Hydrometeorological Institute) were used for the identification of rainless periods and periods during which daily mean or maximum air temperature exceeded selected thresholds (Table 4). Meteorological stations indicative of conditions specific to each experimental plot were selected from the national network of stations using the following criteria: horizontal and vertical distance from selected

stands (Table 1); landscape orography and climatic variability of broader surroundings. The latter two criteria were included to prevent interpolation over mountain ridges and across climatically different regions.

Daily average, minimum and maximum air temperature and daily precipitation data were interpolated to the centre position of each experimental plot. A rainless period was defined as a sequence of days during which no more than 5 mm of precipitation was recorded per day. This value represents precipitation with low probability of reaching the roots due to interception loss in the canopy (van de Salm et al. 2007), as well as evaporation from the ground. Since no information on actual soil or leaf water content is available at the desired scale and terrain cover, we use the duration of rainless periods as a proxy for drought. For the sake of simplicity, we use term “drought stress” for NDVI responses induced by prolonged rainless periods, being aware of the limitations of such interpretation.

A heat period was defined as sequence of days with mean or maximum air temperature exceeding arbitrarily set thresholds (Table 4).

#### **2.4 Identification of stress episodes in MODIS-NDVI time series 2000-2010**

Stress episodes were defined as continuous sequences of declining NDVI values observed during the period of full foliage. Each NDVI value pertaining to a stress episode was expressed in terms of actual decline in NDVI relative to the overall permissible decline observed in each MODIS pixel (local amplitude) and calculated according to the following formula:

$$NDVI_{\text{decline}} = 100 - ((NDVI_{\text{max}} - NDVI_{\text{stress}}) / (NDVI_{\text{max}} - NDVI_{\text{min}}) \times 100) \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

195 where  $NDVI_{max}$  represents the NDVI of unstressed vegetation and is calculated as the  
 196 mean of 2-4 NDVI observations immediately preceding a stress episode,  $NDVI_{stress}$  is a  
 197 value in a sequence of declining NDVI values, and  $NDVI_{min}$  is the lowest value of annual  
 198 NDVI amplitude, correspondent with a period without foliage.  $NDVI_{min}$  was constant  
 199 during the investigated 10 year period, reaching 0.52 for beech and 0.44 for oak; these  
 200 values were found to be uniform across all investigated plots and in all years. The  
 201 difference between  $NDVI_{max}$  and  $NDVI_{min}$  defines the local amplitude for each pixel (Fig.  
 202 2). Introducing local amplitudes allows for comparability of NDVI declines in spite of  
 203 inter-annual and inter-pixel variability in  $NDVI_{max}$ . In addition,  $NDVI_{max}$  of unstressed  
 204 vegetation constantly declines from spring to late summer, i.e. from ca. 1.0 to 0.9  
 205 (Soudani et al. 2012); hence the need for data standardisation. As a consequence, the local  
 206 amplitude of NDVI is smaller in beech (0.52 to local maximum) than in oak (0.44 to local  
 207 maximum).  
 208 Only stress episodes consisting of at least 3 sequentially declining values observed in at  
 209 least two MODIS pixels from each experimental plot were considered. Also, the  
 210 magnitude of each decline was set to exceed 5% of local NDVI amplitude. Stress  
 211 episodes were extracted manually for each pixel during the vegetative season over the  
 212 entire 10-year period. The length and timing of periods of full foliage differed between  
 213 years and pixels, as indicated by the seasonal course of NDVI values. The fact that only  
 214 the period of full foliage was considered, together with the strict stand selection criteria  
 215 described earlier, implies that forest understory and herbaceous layer should not affect the  
 216 evaluated spectral response.

217  
218 Fig. 2

219

## 220    **2.5 Regression modelling of observed stress episodes and climate**

221    Three types of interaction between stress episodes and climatic extremes may occur in  
222    this type of studies; (i) climate extremes (rainless and/or heat periods) correspond with  
223    incidence of NDVI declines (True Responses, TRs), (ii) NDVI declines occur in periods  
224    when no heat and rainless period has occurred (False Responses, FRs), (iii) no NDVI  
225    decline is apparent during heat and rainless periods (False Triggers, FTs). An inclusion of  
226    FRs and FTs in the regression analysis is not possible because either the dependent or  
227    explanatory variable(s) would be missing. However, a very high occurrence of FRs and  
228    FTs in the dataset may hinder proper interpretation of results of regression modelling. To  
229    investigate this possibility, we quantified the frequency of FRs and FTs.

230    Maximum  $\text{NDVI}_{\text{decline}}$  value observed in each stress episode (Eq. 2, Fig. 2) is used as the  
231    dependent variable and regressed against the list of explanatory variables given in Table  
232    4. Regression modelling was run independently for the two species to facilitate an  
233    evaluation of inter-specific differences in stress response. First, bootstrap sampling was  
234    applied repeatedly to randomly split input data into training, testing, and validation sets in  
235    the ratio of 70:15:15. Then, Neural Network-based modelling was used, following the  
236    workflow described by Hlásny et al. (2011b). In total, 2,000 Neural Networks with  
237    varying architecture were trained for each species; the training represents an iterative  
238    fitting of a neural network-based model into parameterisation data while controlled by  
239    testing and validation samples. Correlation coefficients between  $\text{NDVI}_{\text{decline}}$  values  
240    predicted by trained Neural Networks and observations allocated to testing and validation  
241    sets were calculated to assess the predictive power of trained networks. Subsequently, an  
242    ensemble of 15 best-performing networks (i.e. those reaching the highest correlation  
243    coefficients between observed and predicted  $\text{NDVI}_{\text{decline}}$  values) out of the initial set of

2,000 trained networks was used to identify the most influential predictors and to rank them using the sensitivity analysis procedure. The sensitivity analysis used in this study iteratively discards an input variable at a time and assesses overall network error. A measure of sensitivity then is the ratio of the error produced by a Neural Network with a missing variable relative to the error of a Network with the full set of input variables. The more sensitive the network is to the inclusion of a particular input, the greater the measured deterioration of prediction and therefore the greater the error ratio (1 represents a neutral relationship). Since each of the 15 retained networks generates one set of sensitivity scores (SS), the stability of regression models in terms of prediction consistency can be tested. We used the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to evaluate the inter-model consistency of sensitivity scores on the basis of correlation of all 15 SS sets with the Principal Component 1 (PC1); high correlations of all SS with PC1 indicate consistent signal produced by all models (Hlásny et al. 2011b). All statistical analyses were performed in Statistica Neural Networks v.10 (StatSoft Inc., 2004).

259  
260

## **2. Results**

### **3.1 Stress episodes**

The mean length of observed continuous declines in NDVI was 10.6 days in beech and 12.5 in oak stands ( $P=0.023$ ), while the longest observed period of continuous NDVI decline was 27 days in beech and 24 days in oak (see Appendix B for an example). The most severe declines of NDVI during a stress episode ( $NDVI_{\text{decline}}$ ) reached 25–30% of the local NDVI amplitude in beech and 40–45% in oak stands. The variability of  $NDVI_{\text{decline}}$  was larger in oak stands; standard deviation of declines reached 57% of mean in beech and 70% in oak (Table 3). We found that each  $NDVI_{\text{decline}}$  episode was associated with a single rainless period, while several heat periods from one to several

270 days long occurred within its duration. None of the heat periods identified by the  
271 thresholds specified for this research (Table 4) was sufficiently long to induce an  
272 observable decline in NDVI values. Stress episodes always ended at first precipitation  
273 event which cancelled the respective rainless period. NDVI recovered to its local  
274 maximum shortly after and no irreversible changes were observed.

275 Table 3

276 As a technical verification study, we explored spectral responses of foliage to drought in  
277 the red (620-670 nm) and near infrared band (840-876 nm, Appendix C). The same bands  
278 were used to calculate NDVI values in the main objective of this manuscript (Eq. 1).  
279 Bench-top NDVI declines are mainly related to an increased reflectance in the red band,  
280 which is indicative of reduced photosynthetic performance of vegetation (i.e. lesser  
281 absorption and higher reflectance of photosynthetically active radiation, Reflectance in the  
282 near infrared band was found to increase as well, although the pattern of increase was not  
283 as clear as that of the red band. We observed more than threefold increase in the  
284 reflectance in the red band at the end of stress periods lasting from 10 to 20 days, as  
285 compared to unstressed vegetation. Increased absorption in the near infrared band, which  
286 could be indicative of drought induced changes in leave cell walls, was not observed in  
287 the current investigation.

288

### 289 **3.2 Regression modelling**

290 Correlations between predicted and observed values, calculated as the mean of 15 best  
291 performing networks for each tree species (Table 5) show only small inter-network  
292 variability and were very similar between training, testing and validation sets. The range  
293 of correlation coefficients between 0.84–0.97 implies stable and well performing

294 regression models. The coefficients suggest that explanatory variables utilised in this  
 295 analysis explain a significant portion of the variability of identified stress episodes.  
 296 Table 5  
 297 Sensitivity scores (SS) produced by the 15 best-performing regression models were found  
 298 to be highly consistent among the models. PC1 explained 81% of the total variability of  
 299 SS in beech and 76% in oaks and SS of no model differed significantly from the main  
 300 pattern represented by PC1. Differences in mean sensitivity scores indicated variation in  
 301 the predictive power of explanatory variables between the two tree species, suggesting  
 302 diverging physiological capacity to respond to heat and drought stress (Table 6). The  
 303 largest difference was observed for GDD, which was the most influential predictor in  
 304 beech (SS=4.62), while occupying only the 5<sup>th</sup> position in oak (SS=1.61). The number of  
 305 days with average air temperature above 24°C was the most influential variable in oak  
 306 (SS=5.60), whilst in beech the number of days with maximum air temperature above 29  
 307 and 20°C were the most influential of temperature related predictors (SS=4.00 and 3.90).  
 308 The duration of rainless periods was not found to affect the stress response significantly  
 309 (15<sup>th</sup> order with SS=1.27 in beech, and 12<sup>th</sup> order with SS=1.32 in oak), and its  
 310 importance was greatly subdued by heat-related variables. Non-climatic variables such as  
 311 elevation and stand age did not affect declines of NDVI. In oaks, mean SS of the most  
 312 influential variables (N-Tavg>24°C, N-Tmax>32°C, N-Tmax>29°C) differed  
 313 significantly from each other, as well as from all lower-rank variables ( $\alpha=0.05$ , Tab. 6). In  
 314 beech, the decrease in SS from the first to the last-ranked variable was not so apparent,  
 315 however the mean SS of the group of most influential variables was significantly  
 316 different from the lower-rank variables.  
 317 Table 6  
 318





### 320 3.3 Univariate responses

321 In order to understand the phenological and physiological implications of the most  
322 influential explanatory variables, we further analysed dominant relationships. Diverging  
323 response to the most influential climatic variables was found in oak, which has shown  
324 highest NDVI declines at short to medium duration of unfavourable climate, while longer  
325 duration stress events were accompanied by less severe NDVI declines. The largest  
326 decreases of NDVI were induced by 1-2 hot days accumulated during stress episodes  
327 with average daily air temperature above 24°C (the most influential variable, SS=5.60),  
328 though the variability of responses was high (Fig. 3a). Unimodal response was observed  
329 at N-Tmax>29°C (SS=2.51) with maximum NDVI declines at around 2-4 days (Fig. 3b).  
330 Linearly decreasing response was observed at N-Tmax>32°C (SS=3.18) (Fig. 3c), with  
331 extreme variability at 0 days (i.e. at NDVI declines with no observation of temperature  
332 above 32°C); the reason for this is the low number of stress episodes during which days  
333 with air temperature exceeded the threshold of 32°C.

334 Fig. 3

335 In contrast to oak, increasing the severity or the duration of heat stress in beech increased  
336 the magnitudes of NDVI declines in linear fashion. The main univariate relationships  
337 between the most influential climatic variables and the stress response of beech are  
338 presented in Fig. 4.

339 Fig. 4

340 The only explanatory variable to which we observed a unimodal response in both species  
341 was GDD (Fig. 3e, 4e). Interestingly, the GDD value denoting the highest NDVI  
342 sensitivity was between 900-1,000 in both beech and oak. Observed length of a drought  
343 period was not influential in either species (SS=1.27 in beech and SS=1.32 in oak), it is  
344 however functionally associated to all observed stress episodes. A drought ends at a

precipitation event and NDVI recovers to its local and seasonal maximum shortly after. Considering it on a univariate basis indicates a linear relationship between the length of drought and corresponding magnitude of NDVI declines in beech, but a quadratic relationship in oak (Fig. 3d, 4d).

### **3.4 Incidence of False Responses and False Triggers**

Relationships between frequencies of rainless periods longer than 4 days which were characterised by at least 3 non-declining NDVI observations and rainless periods inducing a stress response were studied. The 4-day criterion was chosen to avoid affecting the analysis by a large number (in the order of thousands) of rainless periods of short duration which are largely irrelevant for tree stress assessment. In beech, a remarkably strong prevalence of rainless periods up to 20 days long with non-declining NDVI values was identified (Fig. 5). In rainless periods longer than 20 days, however, a relatively equal frequency of FTs and TR was observed. In oaks, the frequency of FTs is substantially higher than the frequency of rainless periods inducing stress response for all durations of rainless periods.

Fig. 5

## **3. Discussion**

### **4.1 Ecophysiological inference and applicability**

Currently, even small changes in precipitation regime are thought to have a considerable impact on beech, raising the possibility of co-occurring species such as oak gaining a competitive advantage under projected climatic changes (Scharnweber et al. 2011). Oaks appear to possess the capacity to better tolerate drought, an array of efficient protection mechanisms against permanent high irradiance damage under drought stress

has been identified (Epron and Dreyer 1993; Raftoyannis and Radoglou 2002; Wamelink et al. 2009).

As indicated in our analysis, drought approximated by the duration of rainless periods induced a reduction in photosynthetic activity indicated by NDVI in both species.

Observed climatic stress did not result in irreversible tree decline and mortality in either species, such an event would have been evidenced by a discontinuity in the investigated NDVI time series. Generally, drought-induced damage may lead to organ dysfunction, but it only seldom results in direct and immediate induction of tree decline and death (Bréda et al. 2006). Hence, continuous decline of NDVI values in years following extreme droughts is more likely to occur than intra-seasonal abrupt change not followed by a recovery, as reported in France when a substantial increase in tree mortality occurred in years after the 2003 heat wave (Renaud et al. 2006).

In this study, the variability of maximum NDVI declines was higher in oak than in beech, possibly related to differences in the plasticity of response, but also the presence of several oak species in oak experimental plots (*Q. petraea*, *Q. robur*, *Q. pubescens*).

Differential response of oak species to drought has been reported by Epron and Dreyer (1993) or Raftoyannis and Radoglou (2002). Mean and maximum observed NDVI declines were greater in oak than in beech, even though the photosynthetic rate of beech was found to significantly decrease at low water potentials, while oaks maintained high rates of photosynthesis even under very low leaf water potentials and high air temperatures (Raftoyannis and Radoglou 2002).

Our investigation revealed that NDVI response to climatic stress was related to an increase in the reflectance in both red and near infrared band. While the increase in the red band can be related to the reduced rate of absorption of the photosynthetically active radiation (Glenn et al. 2008), increased reflectance in the near infrared band currently lacks an acceptable interpretation. This spectral range is mainly sensitive to internal leaf

396 structure and leaf dry matter content (Ceccato et al. 2001), and is normally expected to  
 397 increase with vegetation curing (drying and dying; Cheney and Sullivan 1997). However,  
 398 in our verification experiment (Appendix C), the increase in the reflectance in the near  
 399 infrared band was minor compared to that of the red band. Caccamo et al. (2011) stated  
 400 that the evaluation of performance of MODIS-derived spectral indices in the visible, near  
 401 infrared and short wave infrared bands has only been conducted in agricultural areas but  
 402 not for high biomass ecosystems; therefore further research is needed to understand such  
 403 responses thoroughly.

404 The sensitivity analysis indicated that the two species respond to slightly different drivers  
 405 of environmental stress. GDD, and mean and maximum daily temperatures above 20 and  
 406 24°C respectively, concurrent to rainless periods, were the most important variables in  
 407 driving the observed declines in NDVI in beech stands. In temperate climate the  
 408 probability of physiological drought is closely correlated with the period of greatest  
 409 photosynthetic activity, the fact that GDD is the best predictor of NDVI decline in beech  
 410 suggests a strong link to phenology with diminished potential for adaptation to the  
 411 environmental stress driver. The strong link of observed stress episodes to GDD may thus  
 412 imply that beech – in contrast to oak – may lack sufficient phenotypic plasticity to  
 413 mitigate the effects of expected climate change. In this regard, Nahm et al. (2007) found  
 414 uniform drought response of beech stands distributed from southern France to central  
 415 Germany. Mátyás et al. (2010) suggest that phenotypic plasticity of beech populations is  
 416 considerable, but ceases to buffer stress near the xeric limit of the species. On the other  
 417 hand, Weber et al. (2013) suggested that beech near their dry distribution limit are  
 418 adapted to extreme conditions already and should be less affected physiologically, while  
 419 changes in the growth patterns of beech under mesic conditions have to be expected.

420 Strong effect of GDD on beech stress response may be related to the functionality of  
 421 antioxidant systems (Rennenberg et al. 2006). Polle et al. (2001) claim that under

422 extended periods of drought and elevated air temperatures, mature beech leaves which  
423 were normally highly stress-tolerant became very susceptible to oxidative stress, what  
424 may be the case of our observations.

425 The relationship between the length of drought periods and NDVI declines in our beech  
426 stands is linear, supporting the assertion of Leuzinger et al. (2005) that beech does not  
427 possess a coping mechanism which would limit the effect of cumulative damage. Nahm  
428 et al. (2007), however, argue in their investigation of beech performance after extreme  
429 heat and drought in summer 2003 that beech possess effective regulation mechanisms  
430 when facing even severe drought and heat periods. This issue does not appear to be  
431 settled yet, other authors found adverse effects of heat and drought on beech  
432 physiological performance (e.g. Epron and Dreyer 1993; Raftoyannis and Radoglou  
433 2002; Wamelink et al. 2009), including effect on tree growth (Scharnweber et al. 2011).

434 In contrast to beech, the magnitude of NDVI declines in oak stands was found to be  
435 sensitive primarily to increased temperature in a unimodal pattern. Our data show that  
436 increasing the number of days which exceed a temperature threshold and/or prolonging  
437 the rainless period does not have a linear effect on the decrease of NDVI. Species which  
438 evolved to colonise drier environments tend to cope better with episodes of drought  
439 accompanied by high temperatures than mesic-adapted species (Sack,  
440 2004; Engelbrecht et al., 2005). A crucial difference in the physiology of beech and oak  
441 might explain the reduction of photosynthetic activity observed in this study in response  
442 to drought (Figure 3). Beech typically displays isohydric behaviour of progressively  
443 limiting stomatal conductance to maintain water potential (Cochard 1999), which is likely  
444 reflected in linearly decreasing rate of photosynthesis. Oaks, on the other hand, have been  
445 shown to use their extensive root systems to support anisohydric behaviour of tolerating  
446 decreasing water potential (Thomsen 2013). Stomata closure would initially limit

transpiration as water availability decreases at the onset of drought, but do not close completely to maintain limited carbon fixation as the drought continues.

#### **4.2 Methodological comments and limitations**

Daily observations of MODIS sensor with spatial resolution 250×250 meters can provide highly valuable data in many fields of vegetation science. There are, however, numerous obstacles which need to be overcome to gain reasonable confidence in the inferences based on such data. The substantial noise present in the data requires a comprehensive quality control to facilitate their use (Wang et al. 2003, Hmimina et al. 2013). The anisotropy in the spectral reflectance of vegetation has also been recognized as a factor potentially limiting the use of daily NDVI data, and corrections to reduce this effect have been proposed (e.g. Shuai et al. 2013). While quality assurance metadata and other QA procedures can be used to substantially reduce the noise in daily data, the effect of anisotropical reflectance persists. The use of 16-day MODIS products is suggested to avoid this effect, this product however does not offer the potential to study immediate vegetation responses to climatic and other stresses. The fact that we accepted an assumption of forest vegetation representing a Lambertian surface (i.e. with isotropic reflectance) should not significantly affect our analysis. Franch et al. (2013) found that while relative errors due to the Lambertian assumption in daily MODIS data are 3-12% in visible and 0.7-5% in infrared spectrum, they reach only 1% in NDVI. Indeed, this effect could have been further reduced by removing images taken under high zenith angles as was applied in this study.

The aforementioned factors may indeed have affected the stress patterns observed in this study. We argue that such effects are random and cannot therefore generate a skewed pattern which could be interpreted as a continuous NDVI decline. In reality, this type of noise increases the variability in the data and potentially covers some less distinct stress patterns, thus contributing to the portion of variability which could not have been

474 explained by the regression models developed in this study. To address this issue in  
475 greater detail, we conducted a supplementary investigation of the spectral response of  
476 drying oak leaves using laboratory hemispheric spectroradiometer. In spite of limited  
477 comparability of MODIS-based and laboratory-acquired spectral responses, our  
478 experiment generated response which was highly consistent with that of MODIS (see  
479 Appendix C for details). This finding supports our inferences and suggests that a  
480 deviation from Lambertian assumption should not prevent the daily MODIS NDVI data  
481 from being used in the research of diurnal vegetation dynamics.

482 High performance of tested regression models implies strong control of climatic variables  
483 over the physiological response of beech and oak, leading to their potential use in  
484 predicting drought stress from meteorological observations and improving  
485 parameterisation of forest drought-stress indices. However, we identified a large number  
486 of rainless periods of various duration, which did not induce an observable stress  
487 response. Some are due to the inherent variability in tree response to moderate  
488 environmental stress driven by the phenotypic plasticity (Valladares et al. 2007) and  
489 environmental heterogeneity beyond the scale of observation. Others are generated by  
490 missing or discarded NDVI observations due to pixel contamination or other reasons.

491 The use of rainless periods as indicators of drought stress in forest ecosystems has certain  
492 limitations due to varying soils characteristics and landscape topography, which both  
493 affect water availability to trees. In this study such effects were controlled for by  
494 considering relief and soils in the initial plot selection, however caution must be exercised  
495 when applying this methodology to a large or heterogeneous area. Precipitation measured  
496 with rain gauges can be used as highly reliable input data, vegetation vigour was  
497 repeatedly found very responsive to precipitation regime (e.g. Clifford et al. 2013; Plaut  
498 et al. 2013). Although not feasible in this study, meteorological indicators of drought  
499 should be verified and parameterised by direct measurements of soil water content for  
500 best reliability of stress prediction.

501 The forest area covered in this study extends to ca. 20,000 km<sup>2</sup>, however for the purpose  
502 of this study we identified only 121 MODIS pixels (250×250 m) which met the selection  
503 criteria. The spatial resolution of MODIS data was a factor severely limiting the number  
504 of suitable forest stands, chiefly due to our criterion of at least 99% cover of target  
505 species in each MODIS pixel, but also due to limits on stand exposition, elevation and  
506 soil type. Such strict selection, however, was applied for the purpose of inter-specific  
507 comparison of stress responses and may not be necessary for different goals, such as  
508 assessing stress status of large tracts of forests. The presented approach is suitable for tree  
509 species with continuous cover, rather than for species with scattered distribution or for  
510 open canopy situations.

511

## 512 **5. Conclusion**

513 Our analysis shows that MODIS-derived data describing intra-seasonal variation in NDVI  
514 values can indicate periods of environmental stress in beech and oak forests. We show  
515 that the incidence and magnitude of observed stress episodes can be explained by a set of  
516 environmental variables describing temperature and precipitation patterns. Having  
517 dissected the sensitivity of outlined methodology, we argue that MODIS data can be used  
518 to infer and verify interactions between climate and forest vigour and productivity in  
519 temperate broadleaf species with continuous distribution. In addition, a close examination  
520 of stand-specific time series of MODIS-NDVI can provide ecophysiological data  
521 complementary to terrestrial forest monitoring.

522



523    **Acknowledgements**

524    This research is a result of the following projects: ITMS 26220120069 (35%) and ITMS  
525    26220220066 (35%); supported by the Operational Programme Research and  
526    Development funded by the European Regional Development Fund; projects of the  
527    Slovak Research and Development Agency under contracts APVV-0111-10 and APVV-  
528    0022-07 (20%), and project of the National Agency for Agriculture Research of the  
529    Czech Republic QJ1220317 (10%).

530

531     **References**

- 532     Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M,  
533     Kitzberger T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EH, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z,  
534     Castro J, Demidova N, Lim J-H, Allard G, Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb N (2010) A  
535     global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate  
536     change risks for forests. *For Ecol Manage* 259:660–684.
- 537     Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under  
538     severe drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-  
539     term consequences. *Ann For Sci* 63:625–64.
- 540     Bruce LM, Mathur A, Byrd JD Jr. (2006) Denoising and Wavelet-Based Feature  
541     Extraction of MODIS Multi-Temporal Vegetation Signatures. *GIScience & Remote*  
542     *Sensing* 43:67–77.
- 543     Caccamo G, Chisholm LA, Bradstock RA, Puotinen ML (2011) Assessing the sensitivity  
544     of MODIS to monitor drought in high biomass ecosystems. *Remote Sens Environ*  
545     115:2626–2639.
- 546     Ceccato P, Flasse S, Tarantola S, Jacquemoud S, Grégoire JM (2001) Detecting  
547     vegetation leaf water content using reflectance in the optical domain. *Remote Sens*  
548     *Environ* 77:22–33.
- 549     Cheney P, Sullivan A (1997) Grassfires fuel, weather and fire behaviour. CSIRO  
550     Publishing, Collingwood
- 551     Clifford MJ, Royer PD, Cobb NS, Breshears DD, Ford PL (2013) Precipitation  
552     Thresholds and Drought-Induced Tree Die-off: Insights from Patterns of *Pinus Edulis*  
553     Mortality along an Environmental Stress Gradient. *New Phytol* 200: 413–421.
- 554     Cochard H, Lemoine D, Dreyer E (1999) The effects of acclimation to sunlight on the  
555     xylem vulnerability to embolism in *Fagus sylvatica* L. *Plant Cell Environ* 22: 101–108.

556 Czúcz B, Gálhidy L, Mátyás C (2011) Present and forecasted xeric climatic limits of  
 557 beech and sessile oak distribution at low altitudes in Central Europe. *Ann For Sci* 68:99–  
 558 108.

559 Engelbrecht BMJ, Kursar TA, Tyree MT (2005) Drought effects on seedling survival in a  
 560 tropical moist forest. *Trees – Struct Funct* 19: 312–321.

561 Epron D, Dreyer E (1993) Long-term effects of drought on photosynthesis of adult oak  
 562 trees [*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. and *Quercus robur* L.] in a natural stand. *New*  
 563 *Phytol* 125:381–389.

564 Franch B, Vermote EF, Sobrino JA, Fédèle E (2013) Analysis of Directional Effects on  
 565 Atmospheric Correction. *Remote Sens Environ* 128: 276–288.

566 Geßler A, Keitel C, Kreuzwieser J, Matyssek R, Seiler W, Rennenberg H (2007)  
 567 Potential risks for European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in a changing climate. *Trees*  
 568 21:1–11.

569 Glenn EP, Huete AR, Nagler PL, Nelson SG (2008) Relationship between remotely-  
 570 sensed vegetation indices, canopy attributes, and plant physiological processes: What  
 571 vegetation indices can and cannot tell us about the landscape. *Sensors* 8:2136–2160.

572 Hlásny T, Barcza Z, Fabrika M, Balázs B, Churkina G, Pajtík J, Sedmák R, Turčáni M  
 573 (2011a) Climate change impacts on growth and carbon balance of forests in Central  
 574 Europe. *Clim Res* 47:219–236.

575 Hlásny T, Křístek Š, Holuša J, Trombik J, Urbaňcová N (2011b) Snow disturbances in  
 576 secondary Norway spruce forests in Central Europe: Regression modeling and its  
 577 implications for forest management. *For Ecol Manage* 262:2151–2161.

578 Hmimina G, Dufrêne E, Pontailler J.-Y, Delpierre N, Aubinet M, Caquet B, de Grancourt  
 579 A, Burban B, Flechard C, Granier A, Gross P, Heinesch B, Longdoz B, Moureaux C,  
 580 Ourcival J-M, Rambal S, Saint André L, Soudani K (2013) Evaluation of the Potential of

581 MODIS Satellite Data to Predict Vegetation Phenology in Different Biomes: An  
 582 Investigation Using Ground-Based NDVI Measurements. *Remote Sens Environ* 132:  
 583 145–158.

584 Ji L, Peters AJ (2003) Assessing vegetation response to drought in the northern Great  
 585 Plains using vegetation and drought indices. *Remote Sens Environ* 87:85–98.

586 Kotarba AZ (2009) A comparison of MODIS-derived cloud amount with visual surface  
 587 observations. *Atmos Res* 92: 522-530.

588 Lakatos F, Molnár M (2009) Mass mortality of beech in South-West Hungary. *Acta*  
 589 *Silvatica & Lignaria Hungarica*, 5: 75 – 82.

590 Le Dantec V, Dufrêne E, Saugier B (2000) Interannual and spatial variation in maximum  
 591 leaf area index of temperate deciduous stands. *For Ecol Manage* 134:71–81.

592 Leuschner C, Backes K, Hertel D, Schipka F, Schmitt U, Terborg O, Runge M (2001)  
 593 Drought responses at leaf, stem and fine root levels of competitive *Fagus sylvatica* L. and  
 594 *Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. trees in dry and wet years. *For Ecol Manage* 149:33–46.

595 Leuzinger, S, Zotz G, Asshoff R, Körner C (2005) Responses of deciduous forest trees to  
 596 severe drought in Central Europe. *Tree Physiol* 25:641–650.

597 Lobo A, Maisongrande P, Coret L (2010) The impact of the heat wave of summer 2003 in  
 598 SW Europe as observed from satellite imagery. *Phys Chem Earth, Parts A/B/C* 35:19–24.

599 Mátyás C, Berki I, Czúcz B, Gálos B, Móricz N, Rasztovits E (2010) Future of Beech in  
 600 Southeast Europe from the Perspective of Evolutionary Ecology. *Acta Silv Lign Hung*  
 601 6:91–110.

602 McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J,  
 603 West A, Williams DG, Yepez EA (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality  
 604 during drought: Why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? *New*  
 605 *Phytol* 178:719–739.

606 Myneni RB, Williams DL (1994) On the relationship between FPAR and NDVI. Remote  
607 Sens Environ 49:200–211.

608 Nahm M, Matzarakis A, Rennenberg H, Geßler A (2007) Seasonal courses of key  
609 parameters of nitrogen, carbon and water balance in European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.)  
610 grown on four different study sites along a European North–South climate gradient  
611 during the 2003 drought. Trees 21:79–92.

612 Polle A, Schwanz P, Rudolf C (2001) Developmental and seasonal changes of stress  
613 responsiveness in beech leaves (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). Plant Cell Environ 24:821–829.

614 Plaut J, Wadsworth WD, Pangle R, Yezpez EA, McDowell NG, Pockman WT (2013)  
615 Reduced Transpiration Response to Precipitation Pulses Precedes Mortality in a Piñon-  
616 Juniper Woodland Subject to Prolonged Drought. New Phytol 200: 375–387.

617 Raftoyannis Y, Radoglou K (2002) Physiological responses of beech and sessile oak in a  
618 natural mixed stand during a dry summer. Ann Bot 89:723–730.

619 Renaud JP, Nageleisen LM (2005) Results from the European Network For Damage  
620 Monitoring. Bilan de la santé des forêts en 2004, 2005, Département de la santé des  
621 forêts, Paris.

622 Rennenberg H, Loreto F, Polle A, Brilli F, Fares S, Beniwal RS, Gessler A (2006)  
623 Physiological Responses of Forest Trees to Heat and Drought. Plant Biology 8:556–571.

624 Rouault, G., J.N. Candau, F. Lieutier, L.M. Nageleisen, J.C. Martin, and N. Varzée. 2006.  
625 Effects of drought and heat on forest insect populations in relation to the 2003 drought in  
626 Western Europe. Ann For Sci 63:613–624.

627 Sack L 2004. Responses of temperate woody seedlings to shade and drought: Do trade-  
628 offs limit potential niche differentiation? Oikos 107: 110–127.

629 Scharnweber T, Manthey M, Criegee C, Bauwe A, Schröder C, Wilmking M (2011)  
 630 Drought matters – Declining precipitation influences growth of *Fagus sylvatica* L. and  
 631 *Quercus robur* L. in north-eastern Germany. For Ecol Manage 262:947–961.  
 632 Shuai et al. 2013  
 633 Soudani K, Hmimina G, Delpierre N, Pontailier J-Y, Aubinet M, Bonal D, Caquet B, de  
 634 Grandcourt A, Burban B, Flechard C, Guyon D, Granier A, Gross P, Heinesh B, Longdoz  
 635 B, Loustau D, Moureaux C, Ourcival J-M, Rambal S, Saint André L, Dufrêne E (2012)  
 636 Ground-based Network of NDVI measurements for tracking temporal dynamics of  
 637 canopy structure and vegetation phenology in different biomes. Remote Sens Environ  
 638 123:234–245.  
 639 Thomsen JE, Bohrer G, Matheny AM, Ivanov VY, He L, Renninger HJ, Schäfer KVR  
 640 (2013) Contrasting Hydraulic Strategies during Dry Soil Conditions in *Quercus rubra*  
 641 and *Acer rubrum* in a Sandy Site in Michigan. Forests 4, 1106-1120.  
 642 Vacchiano, G., M. Garbarino, E.B. Mondino, and R. Motta. 2012. Evidences of drought  
 643 stress as a predisposing factor to Scots pine decline in Valle d’Aosta (Italy). Eur J For Res  
 644 131:989–1000.  
 645 Valladares F, Gianoli E, Gómez JM (2007) Ecological limits to plant phenotypic  
 646 plasticity. New Phytol 176:749–63.  
 647 van der Salm C, Reinds GJ, de Vries W (2007) Water balances in intensively monitored  
 648 forest ecosystems in Europe. Environ Pollut 148:201–212.  
 649 Vicente-Serrano SM, Beguería S, Lorenzo-Lacruz J, Camarero JJ, López-Moreno JJ,  
 650 Azorin-Molina C, Revuelto J, Morán-Tejeda E, Sanchez-Lorenzo A (2012) Performance  
 651 of Drought Indices for Ecological, Agricultural, and Hydrological Applications. Earth  
 652 Interact 16:1–27.

653 Wamelink GWW, Wieggers HJJ, Reinds GJ, Kros J, Mol-Dijkstra JP, van Oijen M, de  
654 Vries W (2009) Modelling impacts of changes in carbon dioxide concentration, climate  
655 and nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration by European forests and forest soils. For  
656 Ecol Manage 258:1794–1805.

657 Wang J, Rich PM, Price KP (2003) Temporal responses of NDVI to precipitation and  
658 temperature in the central Great Plains, USA. Int J Remote Sens 24:2345–2364.

659 Wang LL, Qu JJ, Xiong XX, Hao XJ (2009) Analysis of seven-year moderate resolution  
660 imaging spectroradiometer vegetation water indices for drought and fire activity  
661 assessment over Georgia of the United States. J Appl Remote Sens 3:033555.

662 Weber P, Bugmann H, Pluess AR, Walthert L, Rigling A (2012) Drought response and  
663 changing mean sensitivity of European beech close to the dry distribution limit. Trees  
664 27:171–181.

665

666

667 **Table 1** Criteria for the selection of forest stands used for the assessment of heat and  
668 drought effect on beech and oak stands  
669  
670

| Criterion                            | Limits  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Percentage of investigated species   | >99%  |
| Altitude                             | <670 m a.s.l. for oak; <850 m a.s.l. for beech  |
| Relief aspect                        | southern slopes   |
| Stand age                            | >50 years   |
| Distance from meteorological station | <15 km from station with air temperature data;<br>< 7 km from station with precipitation data |
| Vertical structure of stands         | Single-storey stands only   |
| Soil and bedrock                     | Homogenous across the pixels within group   |

671  
672  
673

674  
675



**Table 2** Descriptive data of beech and oak forest stands covered by the clusters of MODIS pixels used in the investigation NDVI response to drought and heat stress. Mean values and standard deviations are given.

|                          | EP | NoP | Altitude   | Slope  | Aspect | Age     | Density | DifAltT    | DifAltP    | DifT   | DifP  |
|--------------------------|----|-----|------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|--------|-------|
|                          |    |     | [m a.s.l.] | [%]    | [°]    | [years] | [-]     | [m a.s.l.] | [m a.s.l.] | [m]    | [m]   |
| BEECH EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS | 1  | 6   | 479±26     | 13±3.8 | 235±8  | 62±13   | 0.9±0.1 | 484        | 226        | 5,247  | 2,681 |
|                          | 2  | 4   | 515±25     | 16±2.8 | 189±18 | 97±41   | 0.8±0.1 | 533        | 533        | 5,182  | 5,186 |
|                          | 3  | 4   | 526±17     | 9±1.3  | 159±28 | 95±18   | 0.8±0.1 | 533        | 533        | 4,397  | 4,401 |
|                          | 4  | 5   | 433±34     | 10±2.7 | 148±32 | 113±7   | 0.8±0.1 | 533        | 225        | 11,812 | 5,625 |
|                          | 5  | 4   | 655±72     | 29±2.6 | 150±36 | 121±9   | 0.6±0.1 | 254        | 315        | 9,177  | 3,331 |
|                          | 6  | 4   | 688±63     | 31±3.2 | 192±38 | 139±3   | 0.7±0.0 | 254        | 650        | 11,047 | 2,585 |
|                          | 7  | 7   | 714±68     | 29±1.8 | 174±62 | 103±6   | 0.8±0.0 | 411        | 502        | 7,902  | 3,283 |
|                          | 8  | 5   | 742±106    | 26±4.3 | 138±19 | 114±7   | 0.7±0.0 | 875        | 583        | 5,535  | 4,620 |
|                          | 9  | 4   | 686±22     | 11±1.2 | 237±8  | 88±6    | 0.8±0.1 | 140        | 397        | 14,481 | 2,906 |
|                          | 10 | 10  | 491±26     | 13±1.8 | 212±27 | 97±10   | 0.7±0.1 | 305        | 287        | 5,792  | 5,263 |
|                          | 11 | 4   | 443±31     | 16±1.6 | 239±14 | 92±5    | 0.7±0.0 | 305        | 262        | 6,216  | 3,305 |
|                          | 12 | 2   | 398±21     | 17±1.5 | 126±13 | 100±0   | 0.7±0.0 | 305        | 232        | 16,275 | 6,633 |
|                          | 13 | 7   | 505±24     | 14±1.3 | 196±55 | 78±6    | 0.8±0.0 | 122        | 338        | 12,631 | 2,566 |
| OAK EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS+  | 1  | 10  | 397±31     | 11±2.6 | 170±16 | 93±13   | 0.8±0.1 | 180        | 315        | 13,564 | 4,806 |
|                          | 2  | 5   | 410±28     | 13±2.0 | 225±0  | 98±13   | 0.7±0.1 | 318        | 191        | 10,406 | 5,066 |
|                          | 3  | 4   | 544±8      | 6±3.6  | 201±36 | 54±2    | 0.9±0.1 | 139        | 241        | 13,290 | 5,987 |
|                          | 4  | 10  | 574±57     | 15±2.4 | 176±41 | 83±17   | 0.7±0.0 | 318        | 338        | 2,676  | 2,241 |
|                          | 5  | 7   | 186±7      | 2±0.4  | 141±16 | 71±13   | 0.7±0.0 | 110        | 117        | 8,550  | 6,878 |
|                          | 6  | 6   | 376±24     | 8±1.8  | 176±63 | 85±1    | 0.8±0.0 | 100        | 160        | 9,005  | 2,923 |
|                          | 7  | 6   | 295±22     | 11±1.2 | 78±23  | 75±15   | 0.8±0.0 | 100        | 160        | 7,475  | 3,925 |
|                          | 8  | 7   | 174±4      | 3±1.7  | 92±107 | 67±9    | 0.7±0.0 | 100        | 100        | 7,116  | 1,916 |

Abbreviations: EP – Experimental Plot; NoP – Number of MODIS Pixels covering an EP; Slope – mean relief slope within an EP; Aspect – mean relief aspect within an EP; Density – mean stand density within an EP; DifAltT – mean altitudinal difference between an EP and meteorological stations used for the air temperature interpolation; DifAltP – altitudinal difference between an EP and the meteorological station used for the calculation of rainless periods; DifT – mean horizontal distance between an EP and meteorological stations used for the calculation of air temperature-related extremes; DifP – mean horizontal distance between an EP and the meteorological station used for the calculation of rainless periods

685 **Table 3** Descriptive statistics of maximum observed NDVI declines, described in terms  
686 of percentage decline from the total NDVI amplitude, that occurred as a result of potential  
687 drought and heat stress during the period 2000–2010 in oak and beech stands in Central  
688 Europe. The variable describes the maximum stress induced by climatic factors to beech  
689 and oak that was recorded using MODIS imagery.

|       | N      | Mean  | Med  | Min  | Max   | 0.25 | 0.75  | StDev |
|-------|--------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Beech | 166.00 | 10.59 | 8.88 | 5.00 | 27.55 | 6.59 | 12.74 | 6.41  |
| Oak   | 173.00 | 12.47 | 9.71 | 5.00 | 41.81 | 6.86 | 14.53 | 8.70  |

693

**Table 4** Descriptive statistics of explanatory variables used in the regression modelling of drought and heat effects on the variation in MODIS NDVI in oak and beech stands in Central Europe

| Variables    | BEECH EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS |       |       |       |       |       | OAK EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS |       |       |       |       |       |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|              | N                        | Mean  | Med   | Min   | Max   | StDev | N                      | Mean  | Med   | Min   | Max   | StDev |
| GDD          | 167                      | 767   | 703   | 349   | 1443  | 332   | 173                    | 917   | 954   | 334   | 1410  | 334   |
| Tavg         | 167                      | 19.37 | 18.90 | 13.50 | 24.20 | 2.56  | 173                    | 19.39 | 19.30 | 15.90 | 23.20 | 1.84  |
| Tmax         | 167                      | 31.57 | 32.10 | 27.40 | 35.20 | 2.33  | 173                    | 31.35 | 31.70 | 26.60 | 35.00 | 2.24  |
| Tmin         | 167                      | 8.25  | 8.60  | -0.50 | 15.90 | 4.55  | 173                    | 8.43  | 8.60  | 3.60  | 13.10 | 2.71  |
| N-Tavg >15°C | 167                      | 10.59 | 9.00  | 4.00  | 27.00 | 4.97  | 173                    | 12.31 | 11.00 | 4.00  | 42.00 | 5.57  |
| N-Tavg >18°C | 167                      | 8.10  | 7.00  | 2.00  | 18.00 | 4.02  | 173                    | 9.52  | 9.00  | 2.00  | 32.00 | 4.93  |
| N-Tavg >21°C | 167                      | 4.62  | 5.00  | 0.00  | 13.00 | 3.93  | 173                    | 4.62  | 4.00  | 0.00  | 14.00 | 2.94  |
| N-Tavg >24°C | 167                      | 1.80  | 1.00  | 0.00  | 6.00  | 2.10  | 173                    | 1.24  | 1.00  | 0.00  | 8.00  | 1.53  |
| N-Tavg >27°C | 167                      | 0.08  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 1.00  | 0.28  | 173                    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| N-Tmax >20°C | 167                      | 11.49 | 10.00 | 5.00  | 29.00 | 5.24  | 173                    | 12.98 | 11.00 | 5.00  | 42.00 | 5.30  |
| N-Tmax >23°C | 167                      | 9.41  | 8.00  | 4.00  | 22.00 | 3.97  | 173                    | 11.29 | 10.00 | 4.00  | 38.00 | 5.16  |
| N-Tmax >26°C | 167                      | 7.32  | 7.00  | 3.00  | 13.00 | 2.97  | 173                    | 7.55  | 7.00  | 1.00  | 26.00 | 3.88  |
| N-Tmax >29°C | 167                      | 3.96  | 5.00  | 0.00  | 10.00 | 3.08  | 173                    | 3.76  | 4.00  | 0.00  | 12.00 | 2.98  |
| N-Tmax >32°C | 167                      | 1.19  | 1.00  | 0.00  | 4.00  | 1.43  | 173                    | 1.04  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 6.00  | 1.48  |
| N-Tmax >35°C | 167                      | 0.06  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 1.00  | 0.24  | 173                    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Drt          | 166                      | 13    | 10.00 | 5.00  | 27.00 | 5.99  | 173                    | 13    | 12.00 | 5.00  | 24.00 | 5.19  |
| Age          | 167                      | 89    | 91    | 50    | 135   | 17    | 173                    | 77    | 81    | 50    | 112   | 12    |
| Elev         | 167                      | 531   | 500   | 391   | 845   | 105   | 173                    | 341   | 320   | 166   | 661   | 134   |

Abbreviations: GDD –growing degree days; Drt – length of drought period; Age – mean stand age; Elev – mean stand elevation; Tavg – mean air temperature during a drought period; Tmax – maximum air temperature during a drought period; Tmin – minimum air temperature during a drought period; N-Tavg >18°C (or >21°C, >24°C, >27°C) – number of days with mean air temperature above 18°C (or above 21°C, 24°C, 27°C), which occurred during a stress episode; N-Tmax >20°C (or >23°C, >26°C, >29°C, >32°C, >35°C) – number of days with maximum air temperature above 20°C (or above 23°C, 26°C, 29°C, 32°C, 35°C), which occurred during a stress episode

708 **Table 5** Mean Pearson's correlation coefficients between Neural Networks predicted and  
 709 observed decline in NDVI value of beech and oak stands calculated for training, testing  
 710 and validation sets. These coefficients are calculated from a set of the best performing  
 711 Neural Networks. Correlation coefficients indicate the overall performance of neural  
 712 network-based regression models

713

|       | Training         | Testing        | Validation       |
|-------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Beech | $0.86 \pm 6\%$   | $0.82 \pm 8\%$ | $0.93 \pm 0.6\%$ |
| Oak   | $0.88 \pm 1.0\%$ | $0.81 \pm 9\%$ | $0.96 \pm 0.3\%$ |

714

**Table 6** Mean sensitivity scores of explanatory variables produced by 15 best-performing Neural Networks. The scores indicate the predictive power of explanatory variables in explaining the observed declines in NDVI values induced by heat and drought stress. The higher the score, the closer the relationship between the explanatory and the dependent variables.

718

| BEECH EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |                 |                 |                 |      |      |                 |                 |                 |        |       |                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| GDD                      | N-Tmax<br>>29°C | N-Tmax<br>>20°C | Tmax            | N-Tavg<br>>24°C | N-Tavg<br>>18°C | Tmin | N-Tmax<br>>32°C | N-Tavg<br>>21°C | N-Tavg<br>>15°C | Tavg | Age  | N-Tmax<br>>26°C | N-Tmax<br>>23°C | Drt             | Elev   | Slope | Aspect          |
| 4.62                     | 4.00            | 3.90            | 3.31            | 3.29            | 2.68            | 2.51 | 2.42            | 2.34            | 2.31            | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.43            | 1.28            | 1.27            | 1.19   | 1.13  | 1.04            |
| OAK EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS   |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |                 |                 |                 |      |      |                 |                 |                 |        |       |                 |
| N-Tavg<br>>24°C          | N-Tmax<br>>32°C | N-Tmax<br>>29°C | N-Tavg<br>>21°C | GDD             | N-Tmax<br>>20°C | Tmax | N-Tavg<br>>15°C | Tavg            | Age             | Tmin | Drt  | Elev            | N-Tavg<br>>18°C | N-Tmax<br>>26°C | Aspect | Slope | N-Tmax<br>>23°C |
| 5.60                     | 3.18            | 2.51            | 1.63            | 1.61            | 1.54            | 1.54 | 1.53            | 1.48            | 1.42            | 1.38 | 1.32 | 1.29            | 1.28            | 1.24            | 1.23   | 1.18  | 1.17            |

719 Abbreviations: GDD – growing degree days; Drt – length of drought period; Age – mean stand age; Elev – mean stand elevation; Tavg – mean air temperature during a drought period; Tmax – maximum air temperature during a drought period; Tmin – minimum air  
720 temperature during a drought period; N-Tavg >18°C (or >21°C, >24°C, >27°C) – number of days with mean air temperature above 18°C (or above 21°C, 24°C, 27°C), which occurred during a stress episode; N-Tmax >20°C (or >23°C, >26°C, >29°C, >32°C, >35°C)  
721 – number of days with maximum air temperature above 20°C (or above 23°C, 26°C, 29°C, 32°C, 35°C), which occurred during a stress episode

722

723

## Figure captions

**Fig. 1** Position of the clusters of MODIS pixels covering homogenous mature beech and oak stands used for the investigations of MODIS-NDVI response to drought and heat stress. Meteorological stations used for the interpolation of climate data to the position of analysed groups of pixels are also shown.

**Fig. 2** Seasonal course of MODIS-NDVI observations from a single stand in one year (dots). Arrow identifies a typical episode of NDVI decline symptomatic of climatic stress.  $NDVI_{max}$  represents the mean of 2-4 NDVI observation immediately preceding a stress episode (local maximum),  $NDVI_{stress}$  is the value at the end of a stress episode, and  $NDVI_{min}$  is the lowest NDVI value observed in local conditions.

**Fig. 3** Univariate relationships between maximum NDVI declines and predictor variables which were identified as the most influential by neural networks-based regression modelling in oak stands.

**Fig. 4** Univariate relationships between maximum NDVI declines and predictor variables which were identified as the most influential by neural networks-based regression modelling in beech stands.

**Fig. 5** Frequency of rainless periods longer than 3 days which did (dark columns) and did not (hashed columns) induce an observable decline in NDVI

745    **Appendix captions**

746    **Appendix A**

747    Example of experimental plots used for the investigation of MODIS-NDVI responses to climatic  
748    stress. Each experimental plot in our experimental design consists of 4-13 MODIS pixels (250×250m)

749

750    **Appendix B**

751    An example of declining sequences of MODIS-NDVI identified in NDVI time series for selected  
752    beech and oak dominated MODIS pixels for the period 2000-2010. Such sequences are indicative of  
753    environmental stress affecting the physiological performance and spectral reflectance of vegetation.


754

## Appendix C


Reflectance of mature homogenous stands within two MODIS pixels with spatial resolution 250×250 meters in red and near infrared (VNIR) spectral bands is shown in panes A) and B). Pane A) represents an 80 year old pure oak stand undergoing a rainless period lasting 18 days, while pane B) shows values from a pixel covering an 80 year old pure beech stand affected by a 12 day rainless period. Panes A1) and B1) show raw reflectance values, while A2) and B2) show percentage change relative to the reflectance measured on the day of the last rain event.

Spectral reflectance values in panes C) were measured by the LI-1800 Portable Spectroradiometer using 1800-12 Integration Sphere (Licor Inc.) collecting radiation reflected from the sampled material illuminated by a glass-halogen lamp. Three fresh overlapping leaves of *Quercus robur* were positioned in the sphere chamber without water and continuous reflectance readings were recorded for 54 hours with unequal time step in the range 400–1100 nm. At the end of the observation, the leaves were dry beyond natural range found in the field conditions in Central Europe. This supplementary analysis shows that spectral change in leaves with limited water availability observed by the MODIS sensor at stand scale is very consistent with changes observed in laboratory conditions at the leaf scale. The latter is free of any atmospheric or weather related interferences. This indicates that, despite the limited comparability of the two sets of spectral responses, daily MODIS data can provide realistic information on vegetation stress dynamics which can be readily distinguished from intra-seasonal vegetation dynamics.





780 **Figures**



781

782 Fig. 1






Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5